



Fourth Sunday of Easter April 21, 2013 C

Church History (Part 2)

- Constantine
- Heresies about the Trinity and Christ
- Four important Church councils

In last week's column, we looked at the beginnings of Catholic Christianity and how it not only survived but grew despite attacks from within (heresies) and attacks from without (persecutions). We were introduced to some of the greater teachers or apologists whom God raised up to defend the Christian faith. Until 311, Christianity was a persecuted religion.

In this and the next two articles, we will look at developments in the Church between the fourth and seventh centuries. In this column we will look at:

- Constantine and his impact on Christianity
- Heresies and Church councils

Constantine and his impact on Christianity

In spite of brutal persecution by the Roman emperors Decius and Diocletian, the number of Christians grows to about five million by the year 300 out of a total population of about fifty million in the Roman Empire. In 311, Emperor Galerius ends the long persecution that began in 302 with Diocletian. But it is the event that happens the following year that will change the destiny of the Catholic Church for centuries to come.

In 312, Constantine, Roman Emperor of the West (Western Mediterranean region), finds himself and his troops engaged in a crucial battle at the Milvian Bridge outside of Rome. In his Life of Constantine, Church historian Eusebius reports that the emperor had a dream before the battle in which it was revealed to him that he would be victorious in battle if he placed the Greek monogram of Christ, the Chi-Rho (first two letters of Christ's name in Greek) on the shields and banners of his troops. Constantine does so and wins a decisive battle, attributing his victory to the Christian God. The next year 313, Constantine issues the Edict of Milan, sometimes called the Edict of Toleration, with the agreement of Licinius, emperor of the East. The Edict grants religious freedom throughout the Roman Empire. Christianity is no longer an illegal and persecuted religion.

Soon after issuing the Edict of Milan, Constantine (whose mother Helena is a Christian) starts to actively promote Christianity because he sees it as a force that could unify his empire. He builds beautiful churches, enacts laws honoring Sunday, Christmas and other holy days, exempts clergy from taxation, and much more.

In 324, Constantine defeats Licinius and becomes the sole emperor-ruling East and West. As Constantine looks at both sides of his empire, he sees that the East is the more attractive place from the viewpoint of population, material wealth and social and cultural sophistication. In 230, Constantine transfers the capital of his empire from Rome to the old city of Byzantium, and renames it *Constantinople* (modern-day Istanbul). This city becomes the center of Eastern Christianity. All of the important Church councils during this period take place in the East and not in Rome, the capital of the West. Making Constantinople the new capital of the Empire has important implications for the Church. Prior to 239, Rome was the capital city of Christianity, and we can say of Roman Catholicism. After 239, Constantinople becomes a second Rome, and the Patriarch (head bishop) of Constantinople starts to see himself as equal in jurisdiction to the bishop of Rome.

So from 230, we have an *Eastern* Church centered in Constantinople and a *Western* Church centered in Rome. The Eastern Church is largely Greek in language and thought; the Western Church is Latin in orientation. Each develops its own style of liturgy. Going forward, the Eastern and Western churches tend to look down their noses on each other. Gradually, tensions develop which lead to a final parting of ways in 1054.

Legalized Christianity—a mixed blessing. The *Edict of Milan* legalized Christianity and brought obvious blessings to the Church. But the Edict also led to some new challenges for the Church. The following are some negative consequences of legalized Christianity.

• Forceful emperors start to see themselves not only as defenders of the state but also of the Church. Such extreme interference in Church affairs is called *Caesaropapism*, the combining of the power of secular government with the authority of the Church.

The Eastern Church is especially subject to the whims of political rulers who sometimes elect and depose bishops, and force churches to accept the emperor's theological viewpoint. The Western Church, because of the authority of the bishop of Rome and its distance from Constantinople, remains relatively independent.

- The emperor's endorsement of Christianity leads to mass conversions to the Church. Often, these conversions are only skin deep. Many become Christian in order to keep their citizenship.
- Many churchmen become powerful secular rulers. They acquire wealth, wage war, and often put the affairs of the state before spiritual matters. Christianity goes from being an "underground" Church and countercultural to being the official religion of the Roman Empire.

Even though he favors Christianity, Constantine is not baptized until before his death. One reason may have been that he was not ready to embrace the Christian way of life. He is ruthless in many ways. He does not hesitate to execute anyone who he thinks might be a rival to the throne. This includes several members of his own family.

Formation of the Creed — Church councils and heresies

Each Sunday in Church, we profess our belief in the central truths of our faith by means of the Nicene Creed, more accurately called the *Nicene-Constantinople Creed* (derived from two Church councils, Nicaea [325] and Constantinople [381]). The text of the creed does not come about as the result of peaceful dialogue but, in the course of time, through violent arguments, conflicts among people, cultures and regions, exiles and, eventually, through the working of the Holy Spirit who we might say miraculously delivers the Church from Arianism.

Through the divine assistance of the Holy Spirit, the first Christians led by the Apostles come to believe in the doctrine of the Blessed Trinity—one God, three persons: Father, Son and Holy Spirit. They also come to accept that Jesus is both divine and human.

Gradually, in the post Apostolic era, people start to ask questions or seek to comprehend the mysteries of the Christian faith expressed in Baptism and eucharistic formulas. How could God be one in three persons at the same time? How could a man—who was born, lived and died—be God? How could Jesus be fully human and fully God? How could the mysteries of our faith be explained in different cultural and intellectual circles? These questions and others reach a boiling point in the fourth and fifth centuries. Church councils are convened to deal with false answers that are beginning to be embraced by both the clergy and laity.

Arianism and the Council of Nicaea (325). Arianism takes its name from Arius (250-336), a priest and popular preacher in Alexandria, Egypt. Arius, in his attempt to protect the uniqueness and transcendence of God the Father, denies the divinity of Jesus. According to Arius, "the Word did not co-exist with the Father from all eternity." He believes that Jesus is above humans but somehow less than God. His teaching has grave consequences not only for the doctrine of the trinity but also for Christian belief about salvation. If Arius was right in his belief that the Word of God is not God, then humanity was not redeemed by Jesus. When Arius, despite being condemned by his local bishop, continues to preach his false doctrine and gains the support of other bishops, Constantine, fearing the heresy to be a threat to the unity of the empire, calls the Council of Nicaea (a town near Constantinople).

This Council of Nicaea is the first ecumenical (worldwide) council in the Church's history (Vatican II was the twenty-first). It brings together about 300 bishops, nearly all from the Eastern Church. Sylvester, bishop of Rome, sends two representatives. Some of the bishops bear the physical wounds of Diocletian's persecution but now they are wined and dined by the emperor in one of his palaces. They may have wondered if the kingdom of God could be more splendid.

All of the bishops at Nicaea, except two, join together in condemning the teaching of Arius. In seeking to express that Jesus is totally equal to the Father, they use the Greek word *homoousios*, which means "same substance" as the Father.

The dispute should have ended there, but it does not. Two or three bishops later decide that the Church cannot settle this question by using the unbiblical word *homoousios* and they conclude that Arius is actually right. They manage to change the minds of a few other bishops and then approach the emperor to convince him. (These bishops have forgotten that Tertullian had used the unbiblical word *trinity* to talk about another mystery of the Christian faith.)

This new dispute about the divinity of Christ begins an unfortunate chapter of the involvement of the Church with the State. When the pro-Arian bishops sway the emperor and succeeding emperors to their opinion, the emperors begin to put political pressure on the bishops supporting the Council of Nicaea's Creed. In fact, a number of pro-Nicene bishops are expelled from their local dioceses by force. During this fifty-year period, *St. Athanasius* is the staunch defender of the Church's belief on the divinity of Christ. He is exiled no less than five times from his diocese in Alexandria in Egypt. At times, the crisis is so bleak that St. Jerome in 361 famously writes: *"The entire world groaned and was astonished to find itself Arian."*

Soon after, the tide begins to turn back in favor of the truth expressed at Nicaea. Two big factors are:

- The writings and efforts of three bishop-theologians, who come to be known as the "Cappadocian Fathers" (St. Basil of Caesarea and his brother Gregory of Nyssa, and their friend Gregory of Nazianzen), influence and convince many bishops who are on the fence about this issue. They pick up the mantle of Athanatius who dies in 381.
- The sudden death of the Arian emperor in battle is seen by many as providential. Two new emperors, Gratian in the West and Theodosius in the East, intervene on the side of the Nicenes.

In 380, Theodosius establishes Christianity as the official religion of the empire and appoints Gregory of Nazianzen as Bishop of Constantinople. The three previous bishops were Arian. In 381, Theodosius convenes the Council of *Constantinople* in which he reaffirms the Son as having the "same substance" (homoousios) as the Father. Furthermore, he believes that the Holy Spirit is also fully God and professes: "We believe in the Holy Spirit, the Lord and lifegiver, who proceeds from the Father, who with the Father and the Son is worshipped and glorified." And so the creed we recite on Sunday is drawn up. In the eighth century, the Latin bishops add the term filioque (who proceeds from the Father and the Son). The Eastern Church's objection to this addition becomes one of the big reasons for the split between the East and the West in 1054.

The Council of Constantinople, for the most part, ends the Arian heresy—the only exception being with some of the tribes surrounding the Roman Empire.

Christological debates

After the issue of the equality of the Father, Son and Holy Spirit is settled, theological debate shifts to the person of Christ, a branch of theology called Christology. The main focus of the debate bears on the two natures of Christ: his divinity and his humanity.

The *Alexandrian School* led by Bishop Cyril tends to *hold together* the divine and human natures of Christ: his perfect divinity so penetrates his human nature that results

in internal unity. On the other hand, the *Antioch School* is more inclined to *keeping apart* the divine and human natures of Christ. Nestorius, patriarch bishop of Constantinople, belongs to the Antioch School.

The debate reaches a climax when Nestorius refuses to acknowledge Mary as the Mother of God. He holds that there are two *persons* in Christ: one divine, the other human, and that Mary is only *Christotokos*, mother of the human Jesus. St. Cyril of Alexandria (376-444) defends the title *Theotokos*, "God-bearer" or Mother of God.

The Council of Ephesus (431) is convened to deal with this debate which is causing huge internal division in the Church. The bishops of the Council declare that Nestorius is wrong and affirm the long-standing tradition of Christian prayer in which Mary is honored as the Mother of God. The Council is careful to state that Mary is the Mother of God "according to the flesh" to clarify that Mary is *not* the source of Jesus' divinity. It should be noted that this issue is not settled without fights, insults, exiles and accusations.

Council of Chalcedon (451). The dispute about the *natures* of Christ leads to yet another heresy, *Monophysitism* (Greek word for one nature). The heresy teaches that Christ has only *one* nature, that his human nature is absorbed into his divine nature "like a drop of honey into the water of the sea." In effect, this heresy denies the humanity of Christ. Another Church council is convened, this time at Chalcedon, to deal with this latest threat to Catholic belief about who Jesus is. The Council declares: "Jesus exists *in two natures*, the divine and the human, which come together 'without confusion or change, without division or separation' to form the *one undivided person* of Jesus Christ, the Son of God incarnate."

The teaching about the *two natures* of Jesus becomes known as the *hypostatic union*. Pope Leo I sends a letter called the *Tome* to the Council concerning the doctrine of the hypostatic union. When Leo's *Tome* is read, the Council fathers proclaim that "*Peter had spoken through the mouth of Leo.*" Chalcedon affirms the teachings of Nicaea, Constantinople and Ephesus. In the history of the Church's beliefs about Jesus, these four councils are seen as hugely important. Their teachings can be briefly summarized as follows:

Unfortunately, just as some Christians reject the teachings of previous councils, others dismiss the teachings of Chalcedon. The continued belief of Monophysitism leads to the formation of the *Coptic Church*, the largest Christian body in Egypt today. Finally, it is important to state that creeds and other doctrinal statements that deal with the mysteries of our faith are *not* an attempt to *explain* a particular doctrine, but are rather statements of faith intended to *protect* the faith of the Church. If anyone teaches anything contrary to our creedal formulations, they are regarded as false teachers or heretics. Having said that, we can also say that it is the challenge to theologians in every age to find new and fresh ways to *express* in human language the ancient truths of our faith.

STATEMENT	COUNCIL
Christ is fully divine	Council of Nicaea 325
Christ is fully human	Council of Constantinople 381
Christ is a unified person	Council of Ephesus 431
Christ is human and divine in one person	Council of Chalcedon 451

Pelagianism. Pelagius is a popular monk from Britain who taught in Rome around 405. He maintains that God's grace is helpful but not essential for human salvation. He also denies that original sin intrinsically corrupted human nature. People do not inherit the sin of Adam. Rather, they sin by imitating him. Pelagius in his teaching is reacting to the moral laziness he witnesses in Rome that absolves humans from personal responsibility of using their own free will to seek and attain a virtuous life. St. Jerome and St. Augustine are the chief opponents of Pelagianism. Augustine's own experience rendered his free will and desire to do good totally powerless to deliver him from the bondage of sin. Only God's free gift of grace can do this.

The Second Council of Orange in 529 approves a modified version of Augustine's view, emphasizing an absolute need for God's grace for one to do anything good, but also recognizing the necessity of *human cooperation* to accept God's grace.

Many would say Pelagianism is alive and well in our culture today. Why? Because many people today are convinced that *we* control our own destinies. Many believe in the concept of the 'self-made man.' We are flooded with self-development courses and techniques to help people find their own peace, happiness and self-fulfillment without the need for God. Today, many believe that human nature can heal itself through its own power.

In contrast, Christianity teaches that salvation and inner peace cannot be achieved through human effort but rather through human *surrender* to God's will and grace.

Reflection Questions

1. What, if anything, did you learn about our Church's history in this column?

2. What struck you most about the events discussed in this column?

If you have any questions or comments concerning this period in our church's history, I would love to hear from you.

If you think some of your friends living elsewhere might be interested in these articles on Church History, please let them know about them.

Have a blessed week,

te Sanon